



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,371 04/30/2002		Maria Cristina Geroni	217550US0PCT	2516
20306	7590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDONNE	LL BOEHNEN HULBEI	KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY		
300 S. WACK	ER DRIVE			
32ND FLOOR	}	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60606	1623		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/031,3	10/031,371 GERONI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		Ganapath	y Krishnan	1623	*			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no every eply within the state od will apply and well tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y ommunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		nis action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	(4) ☐ Claim(s) 17,18,20-25,27-32,36-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17, 18, 20-25, 27-31, 32, 36-38 and 40-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	•	•						
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	r No(s)/Mail Date	98)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)			

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed March 2, 2004 has been received, entered and carefully considered.

The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

- 1. Claims 1-16, 19, 26, 31, 33, 35 and 39 have been canceled.
- 2. New Claims 40-44 have been added.
- 3. Claims 17, 18, 20-25, 27, 30, 32 and 38 have been amended.
- 4. Remarks drawn to rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claims 17, 18, 20-25, 27-30, 32, 36-38 and 40-44 are pending in the case.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17, 18, 20-25, 27-30, 32, 36-38 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a synergistic composition comprising gemcitabine and the anthracycline Ia (compound Ia), does not reasonably provide enablement for a synergistic composition comprising compound Ib and Ia and any other antimetabolite compound including 5-fluorouracil. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1623

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

- (A) The breadth of the claims
- (B) The state of the prior art
- © The level of one of ordinary skill
- (D) The level of predictability in the art
- (E) The amount of direction provided by the inventor
- (F) The existence of working examples
- (G) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The breadth of the claims

Claims 17, 18, 20-25 and 27 are drawn to a synergistic antineoplastic composition comprising the anthracycline Ia or Ib and an antimetabolite compound that includes 5-fluorouracil and gemcitabine that are analogs of pyrimidine and cytidine and claims 28-30, 32, 36-38 and 40-44 are drawn to methods of treating metastasis in mammals comprising administering the said composition. The breadth of the claims is seen to include a composition comprising the said alkylating agents with any of the several antimetabolite compounds known other than gemcitabine and 5-fluorouracil.

The state of the prior art

The examiner notes that the art cited by the applicants (Cancer, Principles and Practice of Oncology, 1997, 432-452). However these compounds have structural differences including differences in substitution patterns and are not correlative for the

Art Unit: 1623

claimed synergistic composition and the synergistic effect in the method of treatment of the said disease.

The level of predictability in the art

The examiner acknowledges the probability and predictability that the instantly claimed synergy of the composition and method of treatment of metastasis would have a reasonable expectation of success. There is not seen sufficient data to substantiate the synergism between anthracycline Ia and any antimetabolite, anthracycline Ib and any metabolite other than the combination of compound Ia and gemcitabine and the synergistic effect of the composition in the said method of treatment. Synergism between two active agents is highly unpredictable.

The amount of direction provided by the inventor

The instant specification is not seen to provide enough guidance that would allow a skilled artisan to extrapolate from the disclosure and the examples provided to predict the synergism between compounds Ia or Ib and any metabolite other than gemcitabine and also predict the synergistic effect of the combination when used in a method of treatment of the said disease. The specification also fails to direct the skilled artisan in correlative prior art procedures which might provide the basis for such a prediction.

The existence of working examples

The working examples set forth in the instant specification are drawn to the combination of the anthracycline Ia (PNU –159548) and gemcitabine in particular.

Despite this example there is little enabling disclosure for the combination of the anthracycline Ia and any antimetabolite other than gemcitabine. Applicants have given a

Art Unit: 1623

working example of anthracycline Ia and gemcitabine only and are therefore not entitled to the combinations of other antimetabolites with compound Ia and Ib too.

The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure

Indeed, in view of the information set forth, the instant disclosure is not seen to be enabling for a synergistic composition comprising compound Ia or Ib with any antimetabolite. The only enabling disclosure is the composition comprising compound Ia and gemcitabine. One of ordinary skill in the art would have to perform undue experimentation in order to determine the combinations of compound Ia or Ib and an antimetabolite to determine if there is synergism.

Conclusion

Claims 17, 18, 20-25, 27-30, 32, 36-38 and 40-44 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 571-272-0654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1623

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GK

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER